

BEFORE THE
PHILADELPHIA WATER COMMISSIONER

In the Matter of a Proposed Increase in :
Rates for Water and Wastewater Utilities : FY 2009-2012

**INITIAL BRIEF SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF
PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT**

Harris T. Bock, Esquire, Presiding

ANDRE C. DASENT, ESQUIRE
Attorney for Philadelphia Water Department

1650 Market Street, 36th Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
(215) 625-0555

Date: September 9, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	1
II. STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS	9
III. GOVERNING LEGAL STANDARDS	11
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE UTILITIES	13
V. ARGUMENT	15
A. The Department Must Establish Rates Sufficient to Pay Operating Expenses, Debt Service and Fulfill Rate Covenants with Investors	15
B. The Proposed Rate Increase is Essential to Implementation of the Department's Capital Program	17
C. The Department's Overall Rate Plan Is Reasonable	19
D. The Department Has Made Every Reasonable Effort to Lower Costs to Its Customers	21
E. The Department's Projections of Operating Expenses and Debt Service Should Be Approved	22
F. Financial Events Are Already Negatively Impacting the Rate Plan	26
G. The Department Has Agreed to Reasonable Adjustments to the Rate Filing	29
H. Additional Adjustments to the Revenue Requirement Should Be Rejected	30
1. Actual/Budget Factors	31
2. Personal Services	33
(a) Salaries	32
(b) Fringe Benefits	35
(c) Reduction in Vacancies	36

3.	Non-Recurring Expenses	37
4.	Growth Factors	39
	(a) Class 200-400 (Excluding Power and Chemical Costs)	39
	(b) Power Costs	42
	(c) Chemical Costs	43
5.	Indemnities Charges	43
6.	Excess Interest Earnings	44
7.	Collection Factor	45
8.	Rate Stabilization Fund Adjustment	47
I.	The Department's Proposed Cost Allocation and Rate Design Should Be Approved	47
	1. Public Advocate Recommendations	49
	2. Commercial Customer Coalition Recommendations	50
	(a) New Customer Classes	52
	(b) Maximum Day and Hour Peaking Factors	53
	(c) Fixed/Variable Cost of Service Study Methodology	56
	(d) Customer Billing and Collection Costs	56
	(e) Rate Design Recommendations	58
	(1) Lower Tail Block Rates	58
	(2) Conjunctive Billing	60
VI.	THE DEPARTMENT AND WATER REVENUE BUREAU ARE COMMITTED TO IMPROVEMENTS IN THE AREA OF CUSTOMER SERVICE	60
VII.	CONCLUSION	63
APPENDICIES		
	Appendix A - List of Statements and Exhibits Submitted of Record	
	Appendix B - Table 11 (PWD Statement 5 – Exhibit JRM-1)	
	Appendix C - Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	

TABLE OF CITATIONS

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page</u>
<i>Bell Telephone Company v. Driscoll</i> , 343 Pa. 109, 21 A.2d 912 (1941)	20
<i>Bluefield Waterworks and Improvement Company v. Public Service Commission</i> , 262 U.S. 697 (1923)	5
<i>Consumer Education and Protective Association v. Marrazzo</i> , 133 Pa. Cmwlt. 148, 575 A.2d 160 (1990)	13
<i>Duquesne Light Company v. Barasch</i> , 488 U.S. 299 (1989)	5
<i>Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Company</i> , 320 U.S. 591 (1944)	5
<i>NAACP v. PA Public Utility Commission</i> , 5 Pa. Cmwlt. 148, 290 A.2d 704 (1972)	20
<i>Pennsylvania Electric Company v. PA Public Utility Commission</i> , 509 Pa. 324, 502 A.2d 130 (1985)	6
<i>PA Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Gas and Water Company</i> , 492 Pa. 326, 424 A.2d 1213 (1980)	9
<i>Public Advocate v. Philadelphia Water Department</i> , 26 Phila. 527 (Philadelphia County, 1993), aff'd 662 A.2d 686 (1995)	13
 <u>Statutes/Ordinances</u>	
First Class City Home Rule Act, 53 P.S. 13101 (2008)	2
Restated General Water and Wastewater Revenue Bond Ordinance of 1989 (Ordinance of City Council, approved June 24, 1993 – Bill No. 544)	2
 <u>Treatise</u>	
American Water Works Association, <i>Principles of Water Rates, Fees and Charges</i> (5 th Edition)	50